1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COUNTY OF TESLA MOTORS, INC., a Delaware	E-FILED 1/26/2017 9:06:17 AM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 17CV305646 Reviewed By:R. Walker THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SANTA CLARA		
12	corporation;	COMPLAINT FOR:		
13	Plaintiff,	(1) BREACH OF CONTRACT		
14	V.	 (2) BREACH OF DUTY OF LOYALTY (3) AIDING AND ABETTING BREACH 		
15 16 17 18	STERLING ANDERSON, an individual; CHRISTOPHER URMSON, an individual; AURORA INNOVATION, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.	 OF DUTY OF LOYALTY (4) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS (5) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE (6) VIOLATION OF CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200 		
19		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
20		_		
21 22				
22				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	COMPLAINT			
	5096582			

1	
2	

SUMMARY OF DISPUTE

This dispute arises out of the efforts of Sterling Anderson, a former non-technical
 program manager of Tesla's Autopilot team, to violate his contractual and other obligations to Tesla
 by attempting to recruit at least a dozen Tesla engineers, taking Tesla's confidential and proprietary
 information, and doctoring and destroying evidence in an effort to cover his tracks – all for the benefit
 of a competing venture he launched while still a Tesla employee.

7 2. The Autopilot features that are built into every Tesla vehicle, and continually updated 8 through free over-the-air updates, are widely regarded as the most advanced, safest, and most reliable technology in the autonomous area. In their zeal to play catch-up, traditional automakers have 9 10 created a get-rich-quick environment. Small teams of programmers with little more than demoware 11 have been bought for as much as a billion dollars. Cruise Automation, a 40-person firm, was 12 purchased by General Motors in July 2016 for nearly \$1 billion. In August 2016, Uber acquired 13 Otto, another self-driving startup that had been founded only seven months earlier, in a deal worth more than \$680 million. 14

15 3. Anderson and his business partners, including Christopher Urmson, the recently 16 departed head of Google's self-driving car initiative, decided to take a run at a similar fortune. Unlike 17 other recent startups, however, Anderson and Urmson sought an unfair advantage. While still 18 employed by Tesla, and while assuring management of his loyalty, Anderson secretly set out to hire 19 Tesla's Autopilot engineers for his own competing venture. Both directly and by using his business 20 partner as his proxy, Anderson worked to recruit Tesla's engineers, eventually making offers to at 21 least a dozen people – all in direct violation of his contractual non-solicitation obligations and the 22 duty of loyalty that he owed to Tesla as an employee. Ultimately, only two of the recruited Tesla 23 engineers left to go to Anderson's startup, but the fact that his recruitment efforts were mostly 24 unsuccessful does not excuse his misconduct. Anderson collaborated with Urmson on their 25 competing venture on Tesla time, using his Tesla company laptop, and on Tesla's premises. On 26 January 4, 2017, Anderson took his Tesla laptop to Urmson's home, accessed a document entitled 27 "Recruiting targets" and continued to proceed with their Tesla solicitations. Even after Tesla terminated Anderson that afternoon, he and his partners continued to recruit from Tesla in flagrant 28

> - 1 -COMPLAINT

disregard of the contractual non-solicit, which survives the end of Anderson's employment by 12
 months.

3 4. Anderson also downloaded hundreds of gigabytes of Tesla confidential and 4 proprietary information to his personal Toshiba hard drive. Upon the end of his employment, 5 Anderson was required to return all originals and copies of all documents and other company 6 property in his possession. Anderson returned his company-issued laptop, but not the "backups" he 7 had regularly created, which contain hundreds of gigabytes of data, including some of Tesla's most 8 competitively sensitive information. Were that not enough, Anderson then doctored the laptop, 9 manually hacking the timestamps on files and secure-erasing others, all in an attempt to conceal his misdeeds. As for the company-issued iPhone that contained perhaps the most damning evidence of 10 11 Anderson's unlawful solicitation of Tesla employees – he wiped that altogether. Anderson's blatant 12 efforts to cover his tracks belie any innocent explanation he may attempt to conjure up for his 13 conduct. No one would attempt to put fake timestamps on files if they were innocent or thought their actions were honest. 14

15 5. Tesla does not file this action lightly. Tesla is committed to providing a stimulating 16 and rewarding workplace for its employees, who are bound not by long-term employment contracts but instead by a shared commitment to mission, to innovation, and to excellence. Tesla understands 17 18 that some employees may decide to pursue other opportunities or even to create a startup of their 19 own, and Tesla is typically supportive of their personal ambitions and respectful of their decisions. 20 However, Tesla cannot sit idly by when an employee like Anderson abuses his position of trust and 21 orchestrates a scheme to deliberately and repeatedly violate his non-solicit agreement, hide evidence, 22 and take the company's confidential and proprietary information for use in a competing venture. 23 Faced with such extreme and inexcusable misconduct, Tesla has no choice but to act.

24

PARTIES

25 6. Plaintiff Tesla Motors, Inc. ("Tesla") is a publicly traded Delaware corporation with
26 its principal place of business in Palo Alto, California.

27 7. Defendant Sterling Anderson is an individual who, on information and belief, resides
28 in Sunnyvale, California.

- 2 -COMPLAINT

8. 1 Defendant Christopher Urmson is an individual who, on information and belief, 2 resides in Mountain View, California.

3 9. Defendant Aurora Innovation, LLC ("Aurora") is a Delaware limited liability 4 company with its principal place of business in Mountain View, California.

- 5 10. The true names and capacities of the defendants named herein as Does 1 through 10, 6 inclusive, whether individual, corporate, or otherwise, are currently unknown to Tesla, which 7 therefore sues such defendants by fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 474. 8 Tesla will amend this Complaint to identify the true names and capacities of each of the fictitiously 9 named defendants when such names and capacities have been determined.
- 10
- 11

BACKGROUND

A. **Tesla's Industry-Leading Autonomous Driving Program**

12 11. Tesla's Autopilot is today an advanced driver assistance system that augments the driver's perception, improves their decision making, and assists in control of their vehicle. Autopilot 13 in vehicles built between September 2014 and October 2016 uses a combination of a camera and 14 15 radar with enhanced processing, 12 ultrasonic sensors, and navigation data to steer within a lane, change lanes on prompt, and adjust speed in response to traffic. Industry publications have described 16 Tesla's Autopilot features as a "robotic wonder,"¹ a "crowning achievement[],"² and "without a 17 shadow of a doubt a proper taste of the future of driving."³ According to Car and Driver, Tesla's 18 Autopilot "lives in a class of one."⁴ In October 2016, Tesla announced that all vehicles in production, 19 20 as well as the forthcoming Model 3, will be built with an updated hardware suite, equipping each 21 Tesla with the hardware needed for full self-driving capability.

22

12. Because Tesla's Autopilot development efforts are uniquely linked to customerowned cars that drive with Autopilot more than a million miles each day, Tesla has access to 23

- 24 http://www.motortrend.com/news/testing-semi-autonomous-cars-tesla-cadillac-hyundaimercedes/. 25
- ² http://www.thedrive.com/tech/4591/the-war-for-autonomous-driving-2017-mercedes-benz-eclass-vs-2017-tesla-model-s?xid=the-drive socialflow twitter. 26
- http://www.dinside.no/autofil/hands-off/66596579. 27

4 http://www.caranddriver.com/features/semi-autonomous-cars-compared-tesla-vs-bmwmercedes-and-infiniti-feature-2015-tesla-model-s-p85d-page-5. 28

exponentially more data than its competitors, who have, at most, small fleets of prototype vehicles.
Tens of thousands of Autopilot-enabled Tesla vehicles (and growing all the time) provide real-time
data feedback to Tesla's servers, ensuring that the system is continually learning and improving upon
itself. Since late 2015, Tesla vehicles have driven well over 300 million miles with Autopilot
activated, yielding a trove of data that is enabling Tesla to significantly accelerate the advancement
of autonomous technology in a way no other company possibly can.

7

8

9

13. By taking some of Tesla's most valuable information and trying to recruit its engineers in violation of his non-solicit obligation to Tesla, Anderson and his partners sought to launch a startup that could quickly fetch the same quick money as Cruise Automation and Otto – though by violating the law in doing so.

11

10

B. Anderson's Contractual And Fiduciary Obligations to Tesla

12 14. Anderson joined Tesla in 2014 as a Senior Program Manager, where he helped manage the team responsible for the design, development, and launch of Model X. In November 13 14 2015, Anderson assumed a program management role for the Autopilot team. In that position, 15 Anderson had organizational (though not technical) oversight of the team responsible for the 16 development and refinement of the complex suite of hardware and software applications that 17 comprise Autopilot. In his program management roles, Anderson became intimately familiar with the experience, skillsets, and performance of the team working on every aspect of Model X and 18 Autopilot. 19

20 15. Before joining Tesla, and as a condition to his continuing employment, Anderson executed a series of agreements by which he promised to devote all of his professional time and 21 22 efforts to his work for Tesla and agreed not to use or disclose Tesla's confidential and proprietary 23 information for any other purpose. Anderson further committed that during the term of his 24 employment, and for one year thereafter, he would not directly or indirectly solicit any Tesla 25 employee to terminate his or her employment with Tesla. These obligations are memorialized in an 26 offer letter dated November 11, 2014 (the "Offer Letter"), an Employee Proprietary Information and 27 Inventions Agreement dated December 2, 2014 (the "EPIIA"), and a letter agreement that Anderson 28 signed electronically on November 3, 2016 (the "Confidentiality Letter Agreement").

> - 4 -COMPLAINT

In addition to his contractual obligations, Anderson owed a duty of undivided loyalty
 to Tesla as a matter of bedrock California law and was legally prohibited from transferring his loyalty
 to an existing or would-be competitor during the term of his employment.

4

C. Anderson's Recruitment of Tesla's Employees and Theft of Tesla's Data

5 17. During the summer of 2016, Anderson began secret discussions with Christopher 6 Urmson, the director of Google's self-driving car initiative until his resignation in early August, 7 concerning the possibility of forming a new venture that would compete with Tesla in the 8 autonomous driving space. This new venture would later be named Aurora.

9 18. To establish a credible venture that would have a realistic chance at attracting the kind 10 of dollars that other startups in that space have commanded, Anderson and Urmson had to staff 11 Aurora with a team of top-notch engineers experienced in the area. Anderson was intimately familiar 12 with the experience and skillsets of the engineers in Tesla's Autopilot team and elsewhere in the 13 company. Urmson possessed the same inside information with respect to the Google team he had 14 until recently overseen.

15 19. Anderson and Urmson thereupon agreed to divide up the world. Using Anderson's 16 specialized knowledge of his colleagues' skillsets and performance, Urmson set out to pursue 17 engineers identified by Anderson at Tesla, and Anderson began pursuing engineers identified by 18 Urmson at Google. Working through Urmson as his proxy, Anderson targeted and recruited 19 numerous Tesla employees to join Aurora. Through a series of text messages, phone calls, and in-20 person meetings, Anderson and Urmson solicited many of Anderson's Tesla colleagues for their 21 competing venture. Anderson did all of this while at the same time assuring Tesla that he continued to be "all in." 22

23 20. Although Anderson worked mostly behind the scenes so that he could try to create
24 the false impression that his "hands stayed clean" – ignoring that his contract with Tesla prohibited
25 both direct *and indirect* solicitation of Tesla employees—he took a more hands-on role with respect
26 to certain recruits, directly lobbying them to join Aurora. Anderson boasted to at least one Tesla
27 engineer who expressed misgivings about the new venture that the scope of hardware development
28 at Aurora would be "more expansive" than the engineer might expect and, in fact, Aurora had already

taken meetings with the heads of what he referred to as "four of the top five OEMs." He was 1 2 essentially attempting to sell Tesla's technology for his own gain while an employee of Tesla. 3 Anderson also made unsolicited sales pitches to others in the company, inviting them to "reach out" 4 to him if he "could be helpful." And he coordinated offers to as many as a dozen Tesla engineers, 5 who were encouraged to accept them quickly so that Aurora could meet its goal of having a team assembled by the end of 2016. 6

7 21. In early December 2016, Anderson decided to tell Tesla that he would be leaving. 8 Shortly before notifying the company of his plans, however, Anderson confided in one of the Tesla 9 engineers he had been recruiting that he was not going to mention Urmson or the new venture to 10 Tesla, but would instead tell the company only that he was "going to do my own thing for a bit." 11 Indeed, when Anderson notified the company of his plans, Anderson led the company to believe that 12 he was considering spending more time with his family or potentially creating a startup that would 13 be non-competitive to Tesla. Anderson did not tell Tesla about Urmson or Aurora, about the Tesla employees they had been soliciting, or about the business they were developing in competition with 14 15 Tesla. Obviously, had Anderson disclosed the true facts to Tesla, he would have been terminated immediately. 16

22. 17 Instead, it was agreed that Anderson would remain with the company through the release of the next Autopilot upgrade, expected within the following several weeks. Anderson 18 19 committed that he would work during that time to help ensure that his leaving would not destabilize 20 the Autopilot team. Anderson specifically assured senior members of Tesla's management that he 21 strongly desired to keep the team together and protect against any disruption to Tesla's Autopilot activities upon his departure. 22

23 23. Anderson's representations were false. Anderson used his additional time at the 24 company to accelerate his recruitment of Tesla employees. He continued to steer engineers to 25 Urmson, so that they could be lobbied to join Aurora, and engaged with other engineers directly. He worked with Urmson on documents with titles such as "Aurora Innovation Staffing Plan" and 26 27 "Recruiting targets," the latter of which he accessed from Urmson's home on Tesla's time and using his Tesla-issued laptop. During his final weeks at the company, Anderson collaborated with his new 28

- 6 -

1 business partners through shared cloud-based accounts and Google Hangout sessions-again on 2 Tesla's time, using his Tesla laptop and, in at least some instances, while on site at Tesla.

3 24. On January 3, 2017, three engineers from the Autopilot group informed Tesla that they had decided to join Aurora. (One later changed his mind and remained at Tesla.) Recognizing 4 5 that the end was near, Anderson undertook to cover his tracks. He wiped his company-issued iPhone, 6 purging not only Tesla-related materials that he was required to return upon the end of his 7 employment but also text messages and phone records evidencing his unlawful solicitation of Tesla 8 employees. He erased files from his company-issued laptop in a manner intended to prevent them 9 from being restored and manipulated the timestamps on other files in an apparent effort to obscure 10 the dates on which they had last been modified or accessed. And he deleted documents and purged 11 browser histories containing references to Aurora, including staffing plans and recruiting targets. 12 Anderson was intent on erasing every trace of his wrongdoing.

13 25. Tesla's concerns about what Anderson may have erased pale in comparison to its 14 concerns about what he appears to have taken. Throughout his employment with Tesla, Anderson 15 was given access to a vast trove of confidential and proprietary documents and data, including some 16 of the company's most competitively sensitive information. Much of this information was stored on 17 Anderson's company-issued laptop, which Anderson regularly backed up to external hard drives, even after he had decided to leave Tesla and was actively building his new venture. Anderson did 18 19 not provide those hard drives to Tesla upon his termination on January 4, 2017, as his agreements 20 required. Indeed, he did not even mention those hard drives when asked to search and account for 21 all Tesla devices and data in his possession, and instead represented that he had "conducted a 22 complete, reasonable search of all of my accounts and devices and have not located anything else that belongs to Tesla." That was untrue, and those devices and data remain unaccounted for. 23

24 25 26 27 28 - 7 -COMPLAINT

1	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
2	Breach of Contract	
3	(Against Anderson)	
4	26. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as	
5	though fully set forth herein.	
6	27. Tesla and Anderson are parties to the Offer Letter, EPIIA, and Confidentiality Letter	
7	Agreement.	
8	28. Tesla did all, or substantially all, of the significant things that those agreements	
9	required.	
10	29. Through his conduct described herein, Anderson breached his contractual obligations	
11	to Tesla.	
12	30. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing breaches, Tesla has suffered, and	
13	will continue to suffer, damages in an amount to be proven at trial.	
14	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
15	Breach of Duty of Loyalty	
16	(Against Anderson)	
17	31. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as	
18	though fully set forth herein.	
19	32. By virtue of his position as an employee of Tesla, Anderson owed a duty of undivided	
20	loyalty to Tesla.	
21	33. Through his conduct described herein, Anderson breached his duty of loyalty to Tesla.	
22	34. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing breaches, Tesla has suffered, and	
23	will continue to suffer, damages in an amount to be proven at trial.	
24	35. In doing the things herein alleged, Anderson acted willfully, maliciously,	
25	oppressively, and with full knowledge of the adverse effects on Tesla, and with willful and deliberate	
26	disregard of the consequences to Tesla, so as to constitute oppression, fraud, and malice. Tesla is	
27	therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages.	
28		
	- 8 - COMPLAINT	

1	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
2	Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations	
3	(Against Urmson, Aurora, and Doe Defendants)	
4	36. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as	
5	though fully set forth herein.	
6	37. Urmson, Aurora, and the Doe Defendants knew of Anderson's agreements with Tesla	
7	as described herein.	
8	38. Urmson, Aurora, and the Doe Defendants intended to cause Anderson to breach his	
9	agreements with Tesla and/or to disrupt performance of those agreements, and their conduct in fact	
10	caused Anderson to breach his agreements and/or prevented performance or made performance of	
11	those agreements more expensive or difficult.	
12	39. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing actions, Tesla has suffered, and will	
13	continue to suffer, damages in an amount to be proven at trial.	
14	40. In doing the things herein alleged, Aurora, Urmson, and the Doe Defendants acted	
15	willfully, maliciously, oppressively, and with full knowledge of the adverse effects on Tesla, and	
16	with willful and deliberate disregard of the consequences to Tesla, so as to constitute oppression,	
17	fraud, and malice. Tesla is therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages.	
18	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
19	Aiding and Abetting Breach of Duty of Loyalty	
20	(Against Urmson, Aurora, and Doe Defendants)	
21	41. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as	
22	though fully set forth herein.	
23	42. Urmson, Aurora, and the Doe Defendants knew that Anderson's conduct constituted	
24	a breach of his duty of loyalty, and they gave substantial assistance or encouragement to Anderson	
25	to so act.	
26	43. As a direct and proximate result of the breaches duty described herein, Tesla has	
27	suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in an amount to be proven at trial.	
28		
	9 - COMPLAINT	

1	44. In doing the things herein alleged, Urmson, Aurora, and the Doe Defendants acted
2	willfully, maliciously, oppressively, and with full knowledge of the adverse effects on Tesla, and
3	with willful and deliberate disregard of the consequences to Tesla, so as to constitute oppression,
4	fraud, and malice. Tesla is therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages.
5	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
6	Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage
7	(Against Anderson, Urmson, Aurora, and Doe Defendants)
8	45. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as
9	though fully set forth herein.
10	46. Tesla was in an economic relationship with the employees that Anderson and Urmson
11	recruited for Aurora, which relationship would have resulted in an economic benefit to Tesla.
12	47. Aurora, Anderson, Urmson, and the Doe Defendants knew of Tesla's economic
13	relationship with those employees, engaged in wrongful conduct designed to disrupt that relationship,
14	and knew that a disruption of that relationship was substantially certain to result from their conduct.
15	48. Tesla's economic relationship with its employees was disrupted when those
16	employees terminated their employment to join Aurora.
17	49. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing disruption, Tesla has suffered, and
18	will continue to suffer, damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
19	50. In doing the things herein alleged, Anderson, Urmson, Aurora, and the Doe
20	Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, oppressively, and with full knowledge of the adverse effects
21	on Tesla, and with willful and deliberate disregard of the consequences to Tesla, so as to constitute
22	oppression, fraud, and malice. Tesla is therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages.
23	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
24	Violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200
25	(Against All Defendants)
26	51. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as
27	though fully set forth herein.
28	10
	- 10 - COMPLAINT

1	52.	Defendants' actions as described herein constitute unlawful and/or unfair business
2	acts and practices within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et	
3	seq.	
4	53.	Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17203, Tesla seeks an
5	injunction en	joining Defendants from engaging in further unlawful and/or unfair business acts and
6	practices directed at Tesla and its employees.	
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
8	WHEREFORE, Tesla respectfully prays for relief as follows:	
9	А.	For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendants and all persons
10	or entities act	ting in concert or participation therewith, from:
11		(1) directly or indirectly soliciting any employee or contractor of Tesla to
12	terminate their employment with, or otherwise cease their relationship with, Tesla for a period of one	
13	year followin	g the termination of Anderson's employment with Tesla; and
14		(2) retaining, disclosing, or using any Tesla confidential and proprietary
15	information in any manner, including without limitation to design, develop, or offer products or	
16	services in the autonomous driving industry;	
17	В.	For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief requiring Aurora to submit to ongoing
18	auditing of its	s systems to monitor for unlawful retention or use of Tesla's confidential and proprietary
19	information;	
20	С.	For compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
21	D.	For prejudgment interest according to law;
22	E.	For recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action; and
23	F.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
24	Dated: Janua	ury 26, 2017 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
25		
26	By: Jøhn C. Hueston	
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla Motors, Inc.	
28		- 11 -
		COMPLAINT
	5096582	

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff Tesla Motors, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.
3	Dated: January 26, 2017 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
4	\sim 1
5	By:
6	John C. Hueston Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla Motors, Inc.
7	Pesia Motors, Inc.
8	
. 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 12 - COMPLAINT
	5096582